PATENT COOPERATION TREATMENT 2005

PCT

REC'D 12 JAN 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORTECT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference TS7608 PCT				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)							
International application No.				International filing date (d	ay/month/year)		lay/month/year)				
PCT/EP 03/50674				01.10.2003		01.10.2002					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC											
G01N33/28											
					•						
Applic	cant										
SHE	LL IN	TER	NATIONALE RESEA	RCH MAATSCHAPPI	J B.V.		• .	,			
1.	This	intern	ational preliminary exa	mination report has been	prepared by this Int	ternational Prelin	minary Examinii	ng			
	Autho	ority a	and is transmitted to the	applicant according to A	Article 36.			, .,			
2.	This	REPO	ORT consists of a total of	of 8 sheets, including thi	is cover sheet.		÷ 73				
	,										
	×	hoor	amended and are the	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. s basis for this report and/ n 607 of the Administrati	br sheets containing	rectifications ma	or drawings whade before this	ich have Authority			
	Thes	e anr	nexes consist of a total	of 1 sheets.	•		19.3				
		-		•							
3.	This	repor	t contains indications re	elating to the following ite	ems:		•				
ļ	ı	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion				· · · · ·				
	11		Priority				184 /				
	Ш		Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to no	ovelty, inventive step	o and industrial a	applicability				
	IV		Lack of unity of invent		•		• .	: •			
i	٧	⊠	Reasoned statement citations and explana	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi tions supporting such sta	th regard to novelty, atement	inventive step o	r industrial appl	icability;			
	VI		Certain documents ci	ted ·			.· ·				
	VII			international application			•	•			
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international appl	ication						
<u></u>											
Date of submission of the demand					Date of completion o	f this report	٠.				
27.04.2004					11.01.2005						
Nam	ne and	mailin	g address of the internatio	nal	Authorized Officer			- as Patro-			
preli	iminary	exam	ining authority:	•			•	September 11 E			
-	li.	D-	ropean Patent Office 80298 Munich	•	Hanisch, C			()))			
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				656 epmu d	Telephone No. +49 8	89 2399-7156		San Andrews			
-				_	1010611011011011101						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/50674

 Basis of 	the report
------------------------------	------------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages											
	1-21		as originally filed										
	Ola!												
	Claims, Numbers												
	8-12		as originally filed										
	1-7		received on 07.12.2004 with letter of 02.12.2004										
2.	With lang	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.											
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:												
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)):										
		the language of publi	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).										
-		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under-										
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:												
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.										
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.										
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in written form.										
٠													
		The statement that the international a	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure oplication as filed has been furnished.										
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.										
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:										
		the description,	pages:										
		the claims,	Nos.:										
		the drawings,	sheets:										
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).										
		(Any replacement streport.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this										
6.	Add	litional observations.	if necessary:										

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/50674

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

No:

No:

Yes: Claims

3, 4, 6, 8 - 11 1, 2, 5, 7, 12

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Claims

Claims

1 - 12

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1 - 12

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 928 954 D2: WO-A-99/52708 D3: US-A-6 422 061 **D4**: WO-A-01/90539

1. Independent claims 1 and 5

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subjectmatter of independent claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT for the following reasons:

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a lubricating oil composition ("Method for tagging hydrocarbons ... can be utilized to tag ... lubricating oil...", abstract) comprising passive markers ("The hydrocarbon to be tagged is blended with a relatively small amount of a fluorescent dye", abstract); which passive markers are capable of detection [...capable of being detected...] in situ by a detector present in a machine which is on or running. For assessing novelty, a claim has to be interpreted in its broades possible way. Therefore, the nature of the passive markers is qualified by the above passage in that it must in principle be possible to detect them in situ by a detector present in a machine which is on or running (this part could possibly exclude extremely large detectors e.g. for neutrinos); the question of whether the markers are in fact being detected in situ depends on the type of the detector used - which is, however, not part of the lubricating oil composition. Therefore, this part of the claim is unclear and does not properly limit the scope of the claim - the skilled person is unable to judge if a certain lubricating oil composition (containing one or more certain passive markers) falls within the scope of the claim or not. Nevertheless, it is to be mentioned that document D1 also discloses a detector for detecting

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY INTERNATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

the passive markers in the liquid hydrocarbons: "...the invention is an apparatus 110 depicted in FIG. 2 for detecting the presence of a tagged gasoline dispersed in a liquid located, for example, in a storage tank or a transfer pipe.", col. 14, l. 60 - 63). It is thus possible to add the detector of **D1** to a machine which is on or running for the *in situ* detection of the passive markers described above. **D1** does not explicitly state the use of the detector in a running machine, nevertheless, if necessary, the detector could be adapted to such conditions (small path length, oil filters etc.).

Although one could argue that the skilled person would expect the marker dyes described in **D1** to degrade quickly in a running machine, the claim itself is silent on the question of degradation, e.g. how long and vigorous the machine was already running before a possible marker detection takes place. Moreover, this problem would affect every marker compound dissolved in the oil, the dyes of **D1** as well as for example the odourant molecules of the examples of the present application. In addition, it is stressed that the claim is directed to a lubricating oil composition *per se*. Thus, a prior art search e.g. by the skilled person is not limited to a certain intended use of the composition.

Therefore, claim 1 is not new in the light of document D1.

In view of the argumentation above, it should be noted that document **D1** is only one example of many similar documents that could be cited anticipating claim 1, see e.g. the International Search Report.

The subject-matter of independent claim 5 corresponds in terms of method features to that of claim 1. The objections raised in respect of this latter claim, therefore, also apply, *mutatis mutandis*, to **claim 5** which is thus also not new.

2. Dependent claims 2 - 4

Dependent claims 2 - 4 do not appear to contain additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step:

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 2) (EPO-April 1997)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

- since they are already disclosed in document D1 (claim 2; dye = molecular species; see also document D2 for microparticles) or document D2 (claim 4; magnetic tags) which states on the first page of the description (l. 24 - 28): "Fluids required by automobiles ... provide additional examples of the need for correct identification of liquids... Such color coding clearly is designed to prevent ... damage or even total destruction of the mechanical device...". Therefore, the skilled person would just contemplate combining the teaching of this document (tagging of e.g. automobile fluids) with that of D1 (tagging a lubricating oil composition) und would thus arrive at the subject-matter of claim 4 (which gives three marker options to choose from). It is again mentioned that the question of whether the markers are in fact being detected in situ depends on the type of the detector used which is not part of the lubricating oil composition (in example 2 of the present application, as in D2, the markers are not detected in situ). Regarding claim 4 it should also be noted that the use of RFID chips as passive markers in lubricating oil compositons is not known or obvious from the cited prior art (the nature of the biomagnetic tags also mentioned in claim 4 is not disclosed in the description of the present application);
- or since they are merely a selection of several possibilities known in the art (claim 3) from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed, see e.g. document D3: "The e-nose device can be used in a wide variety of commercial applications including ... detection and identification of ... diesel/gasoline/aviation fuel...", col. 26, l. 62 col. 27, l.14. Therefore, since lubricating oil composition usually contain volatile, odourant 'marker compounds' which could be detected by an e-nose device integrated into a machine, those passive markers are indeed capable of being detected in situ by an e-nose detector present in a machine which is on or running. This example also demonstrates that the scope of claim 1 is virtually not limited by the above passage (...which passive markers are capable of...).

3. Independent claims 6, 7 and 12

As can be seen from the fact that the aforementioned common technical features, linking together all claims of the application, are known in combination, unity of invention does not

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

exist between all different independent claims 1/5, 6, 7 and 12, and those depending on them, disclosing e.g. different types of markers. In addition, as the other three independent claims 6, 7 and 12 contain a reference to claim 1 (claim 12 refers back to claim 7 which itself refers back to claim 1), the lack of clarity of claim 1 is passed down to these claims. Nevertheless, the following remarks on the compliance of these claims with the core requirements of the PCT are made, in addition to the clarity problems mentioned above:

- i) Use claim 6: The comments (negative and positive) made under point 2 regarding the markers disclosed in claims 3 and 4 also apply, mutatis mutandis, to this independent claim.
- Apparatus claim 7: A machine using lubricating oil and comprising, for example in the ii) oil storage tank or an oil transfer pipe, the detector of document D1 takes away the novelty of the machine disclosed in this claim - such a detector is indeed suitable for detecting in situ a passive marker in a lubricating oil composition according to claim 1, i.e. comprising passive markers which are in principle capable of being detected in situ by a detector present in a machine which is on or running (see the argumentation on claim 1, above). It is to be noted that in addition to the lack of clarity introduced by this desideratum feature and the reference to claim 1, the statement "...when the lubricating \sim oil composition is in the machine" appears to limit the scope of the claim on machines containing the specified lubricating oils, although the oil does not form part of the machine itself (and is also not claimed as being part of it).
- iii) Method claim 12: The assessment of novelty and inventive step of this claim is hindered by the lack of clarity introduced by the reference back to claim 7 which is unclear and which itself refers back to claim 1 which is also unclear (see point 1 above). Nevertheless, it appears that the argumentation concerning claim 7 also applies, mutatis mutandis, to this method claim (see the title of document D1: "...for subsequent identification"), as methods of identifying lubricants whilst in the engine (see p. 5, l. 3 of the present application) and method of using oil detector data to determine when an oil change is required (see e.g. **D4**) are known per se.

It should be noted that a claim directed to the core idea of the application, i.e. a method of determining when an oil change of a machine containing a lubricating system is required using a non-obvious marker, added to the oil, to identify it in situ, appears to

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 4) (EPO-April 1997)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

fulfill the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

4. Dependent claims 8 - 11

Dependent claims 8 - 11 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step,

- since they are already disclosed in document D1 (claim 8; see figs. 1 or 2 of D1) or D4 (claim 11, see the abstract),
- since they relate to minor implementation details (claims 9 and 10) that do not add anything of inventive significance to the subject-matter of claims 7 or 8, see e.g. the abstract of document D4.

5

- 22 -

CLAIMS



- 1. A lubricating oil composition comprising one or more passive markers which passive markers are capable of detection in situ by a detector present in a machine.
- 2. A lubricating oil composition according to claim 1, wherein the passive markers are selected from microparticles and molecular species.
 - 3. A lubricating oil composition according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the passive markers are odourant molecules.
- 4. A lubricating oil composition according to claim 1 10 or 2, wherein the passive markers are chosen from Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) chips, biomagnetic tags and magnetic tags.
 - 5. A method of providing a lubricating oil composition according to any one of claims 1 to 4 comprising
- providing a lubricating oil and incorporating one or more passive markers into said lubricating oil which passive markers are suitable for detection <u>in situ</u> by a detector present in a machine.
- 6. Use of one or more of a Radio Frequency
 20 Identification (RFID) chip, a magnetic tag, a biomagnetic tag and an odourant molecule as a passive marker for a lubricating oil composition according to any one of claims 1 to 4.
- 7. A machine comprising a detector for detecting in

 25 <u>situ</u> a passive marker in the lubricating oil composition according to any one of claims 1 to 4 when the lubricating oil composition is in the machine.

10

- 23 -



• •

- 8. A machine according to claim 7, which comprises an electronic control unit and means to transmit a signal from the detector to the electronic control unit.
- 9. A machine according to claim 7 or claim 8, which further comprises at least one sensor which indicates the state of the oil in the machine.
- 10. A machine according to claim 9, which comprises an electronic control unit and means to transmit a signal from the at least one sensor to the electronic control unit.
- 11. A machine according to any one of claims 7 to 10, wherein the machine is an engine.
- 12. A method of operating a machine according to any one of claims 7 to 11, which method comprises:
- 15 (i) using the detector to provide data about the identity of the lubricating oil in the machine;
 - (ii) optionally, using the at least one sensor to provide data indicating the state of the oil; and
- (iii) utilizing the data obtained in (i) and,
 20 optionally, (ii) to determine when an oil change is required or to set values which can be used to determine when an oil change is required.